

When Time Shrinks: Preserving Learning Quality in Accelerated Courses

Courtney Kallenberger

*Department Chair and Program Chair
Healthcare Specialist Program
IVY Tech Community College – LaFayette*

[Abstract] As community colleges increasingly implement accelerated course formats to meet workforce demands, improve access, and respond to shifting institutional and environmental pressures, faculty are challenged to maintain instructional quality within compressed timeframes. This practice-based article examines the instructional decision-making, course design adjustments, and delivery strategies used in transitioning Anatomy and Physiology (A&P) courses from traditional 16-week to accelerated 8-week formats at a Midwestern community college. Grounded in adult learning theory and cognitive load principles, the article provides a brief contextual overview of the institutional rationale for adopting accelerated offerings, including workforce alignment, student demand, and flexibility for adult learners. It further explores how course standards, learning objectives, and academic rigor were preserved despite reduced instructional time.

Presented as a reflective and expository piece, the article highlights real-world examples of how instructors modified content pacing, instructional methods, and assessment strategies to support student learning in accelerated environments. Through practitioner-informed insights, vignettes, and applied strategies, the article emphasizes the challenges of implementation and the necessity of intentional course design when working with adult learners across varying stages of development. Recommendations are provided for faculty and institutions to guide planning, delivery, and continuous improvement of accelerated courses while maintaining student success and instructional integrity. This work contributes to the evolving conversation on balancing access, efficiency, and quality in constrained educational contexts.

[Keywords] accelerated learning, adult education, community college, course design, workforce education

1. Introduction

Community colleges hold a central position in expanding access to higher education and serve as primary pathways into high-demand workforce sectors, including healthcare. In response to economic pressures, increased demand for flexibility, and institutional completion goals, many colleges have introduced accelerated formats (such as 8-week sessions) alongside traditional 16-week terms. While these accelerated formats enhance access and planning efficiency, they also raise ongoing concerns about depth of learning, knowledge retention, and sustained academic success, particularly in content-intensive gateway courses such as Anatomy and Physiology

(A&P). A&P courses serve as high-stakes prerequisites for selective health programs, such as nursing and respiratory care. Student performance in these courses frequently determines admission eligibility, changing both individual educational trajectories and workforce supply. As institutions implement compressed timelines, instructors must balance content coverage requirements with the realities of human learning, including working memory limitations, the necessity of spaced practice, and variability in student readiness. This article delivers a practice-based analysis of instructional adaptations made during the transition to 8-week A&P courses, focusing on preserving standards while redesigning pedagogy to support adult learners.

2. Context and Rationale for Acceleration

2.1 Institutional and Workforce Drivers

Acceleration has been propelled by (a) regional workforce shortages in healthcare, (b) institutional completion agendas, and (c) adult learners' need for flexible pathways (Bailey et al., 2015; Complete College America, 2012). Shorter sessions can enable students to focus on fewer courses at a time, likely improving short-term completion and credit momentum (Attewell et al., 2012). The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated innovation in scheduling and delivery, normalizing nontraditional formats and hybrid modalities (Hodges et al., 2020).

2.2 Default Structures and Reconsideration

Although the 16-week semester has historically dominated higher education, research examining course scheduling suggests that course structure and meeting frequency can influence student performance, engagement, and subsequent enrollment patterns, particularly in STEM disciplines (Austin & Gustafson, 2006; Bostwick & Nguyen, 2025). However, default structures do not necessarily reflect optimal conditions for adult learners, many of whom juggle employment, caregiving, and other responsibilities (Kasworm, 2010). Accelerated formats emerged as a practical response to these realities, though questions remain about the equivalence of learning outcomes, especially in foundational science courses.

2.3 Maintaining Standards and Objectives

A central concern is whether reduced time compromises academic discipline. In this context, course outcomes, assessments, and grading criteria remained constant across formats, guided by program expectations and accreditation requirements. The challenge, therefore, was not to reduce expectations, but to redesign learning experiences to achieve the same outcomes under alternative temporal constraints.

3. Theoretical Foundations

Adult learning theory, or andragogy, provides a critical springboard for understanding how students engage in accelerated learning environments. Adult learners are commonly characterized as self-directed, goal-oriented, and motivated by the immediate applicability of what they are learning (Knowles et al., 2015). Unlike traditional-aged students, adult learners often enroll in courses with clear purposes such as career advancement or entry into a specific workforce field,

which shapes how they focus on and engage with course material. In the context of A&P courses, this goal orientation can be advantageous, as students recognize the relevance of the content to future clinical practice. However, adult learners also bring a wide range of prior experiences that can both assist and complicate learning. Prior knowledge may facilitate connections to new material, but misconceptions or gaps within foundational science knowledge can create barriers, particularly in accelerated formats. Additionally, adult learners frequently face external constraints, including employment, family responsibilities, and monetary pressures, that limit the time they can dedicate to studying (Merriam & Bierema, 2014). These competing demands are especially significant in compressed courses, in which the pace of instruction requires consistent, sustained participation over a shorter period.

Effective instructional design in accelerated A&P courses must therefore balance relevance, flexibility, and structure. Content must be clearly connected to real-life applications to sustain motivation, while course organization should support efficient learning through clear expectations, structured timelines, and accessible resources. At the same time, instructors must recognize that adult learners may not have the capacity for prolonged learning sessions, rendering it necessary to design learning activities that boost impact within a limited time. Strategies such as applied case studies, focused learning objectives, and streamlined content delivery can help align instructional practices with the needs of adult learners in accelerated environments.

3.1 Cognitive Load Theory

Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) provides a valuable structure for understanding the challenges of delivering complex material in accelerated formats. CLT claims that working memory has a limited capacity and that successful learning occurs when instructional design manages cognitive load to facilitate the development of long-term memory schemas (Sweller, 1988; Sweller et al., 2019). Cognitive load is commonly categorized into three types: intrinsic load (the fundamental complexity of the material), extraneous load (the way information is presented), and germane load (the mental effort devoted to learning).

In A&P courses, intrinsic cognitive load is inherently high due to the complexity of the subject matter, including the need to understand detailed anatomical structures, physiological processes, and their interrelationships. When these concepts are delivered at an accelerated pace, the instructional pace can markedly increase both internal and extraneous loads. For example, students may be required to learn multiple linked systems within a single week, leaving little time for consolidation or reflection.

To reduce these challenges, instructional design must intentionally reduce extraneous load and support germane processing. Techniques such as chunking information into smaller units, using clear and consistent organizational structures, and incorporating visual aids may help students process information more productively. Signaling strategies—such as underscoring key concepts or explicitly guiding attention—can further lessen cognitive overload by directing learners to the most important information (Paas et al., 2003). Additionally, scaffolding complex concepts

through step-by-step explanations and worked examples can support schema development, helping students build a greater understanding despite the accelerated pace.

Ultimately, applying Cognitive Load Theory in accelerated A&P courses demands a shift from content delivery to content design. Instructors have to carefully consider not only what is taught but how it is presented, making certain that the learning experience supports mental processing rather than overwhelming it.

3.2 Spacing, Retrieval, and Desirable Difficulties

Research on learning and memory reliably demonstrates that long-term retention is enhanced through spaced practice and retrieval-based learning (Cepeda et al., 2006; Roediger & Karpicke, 2006). Spacing refers to the distribution of learning over time, enabling repeated exposure to material and opportunities for consolidation. Retrieval practice, or the act of recalling information, strengthens memory and improves the ability to apply knowledge in new contexts. Together, these strategies promote durable learning that goes beyond short-term performance.

The concept of *desirable difficulties* further suggests that introducing appropriately challenging learning conditions can improve retention and transfer (Bjork & Bjork, 2011). These difficulties may include spacing, interleaving topics, and requiring effortful retrieval, all of which encourage deeper processing of information. However, in accelerated courses, the natural spacing of content is reduced by time constraints, potentially limiting opportunities for these beneficial learning processes.

To compensate for this limitation, instructors must intentionally design opportunities for spacing and retrieval within the compressed timeline. This can be achieved through strategies such as daily low-stakes quizzes, cumulative assessments, and revisiting key concepts across multiple class sessions. Interleaving related topics and incorporating frequent review activities can also help reinforce learning and promote connections between concepts.

In A&P courses, in which understanding depends on integrating multiple systems, these strategies are particularly important. By embedding spacing and retrieval into the course structure, instructors can support long-term retention even in an accelerated format. This approach shifts the focus from covering content quickly to guaranteeing that students retain and can apply what they have learned.

3.3 Student Persistence and Integration

Student persistence is a central concern in community college education, particularly in high-demand programs where course completion is critical for progression. Tinto's (1993) model of student persistence stresses the importance of both academic and social integration in supporting student retention. Academic integration entails engagement with course content and success in meeting academic expectations, while social integration refers to a feeling of belonging and connection within the learning environment.

In accelerated courses, opportunities for both types of integration may be limited due to the compressed timeline. There is less time for students to build relationships with classmates and teachers, seek help, and recover from early academic setbacks. As a result, students who struggle early in the course may have limited opportunities to catch up, raising the chance of withdrawal or failure.

For non-traditional students, who often have weaker connections to the institution, these problems can be even more pronounced (Bean & Metzner, 1985). External factors such as work and family responsibilities may further reduce chances for engagement, necessitating that instructors create structured opportunities for interaction and support. Strategies to enhance persistence in accelerated A&P courses include early and frequent communication, structured group activities, and active outreach to students who show signs of difficulty. Providing prompt feedback and fostering an encouraging classroom environment can promote both academic and social integration, even within a shortened timeframe. By prioritizing connection and engagement, instructors can help reduce the risks associated with accelerated learning.

3.4 Community College Context and Guided Pathways

The community college context plays an important role in shaping how accelerated courses are implemented and experienced. Guided Pathways reforms have accentuated the importance of structured program design, clear course sequences, and active advising to support student success (Bailey et al., 2015). These reforms aim to reduce confusion, improve retention, and help students progress efficiently toward their educational and career goals. Accelerated course formats can align with Guided Pathways by allowing students to complete required coursework more quickly and maintain momentum within their program of study. However, this alignment is only effective when acceleration is paired with intentional support that ensures students are prepared for the demands of compressed learning.

In the context of A&P courses, this may include advising students on course selection, providing preparatory resources, and ensuring access to academic support services. Additionally, program-level coordination is essential to ensure that accelerated courses align with downstream requirements in clinical programs. Without this alignment, students may complete prerequisite courses quickly but lack the foundational knowledge needed for success in subsequent coursework.

Ultimately, integrating accelerated formats into Guided Pathways requires a holistic approach that considers not only course design but also advising, support services, and program alignment. By embedding acceleration within a structured and supportive framework, institutions can better balance efficiency with meaningful learning and student success.

3.5 Instructional Challenges in Accelerated A&P

Anatomy and Physiology (A&P) courses are inherently content-dense, requiring students to master an extensive body of terminology, understand the structure and function of multiple organ systems, and apply this knowledge within clinical and problem-solving contexts. Unlike many introductory

courses, A&P demands not only memorization but also integration—students must connect concepts across systems (e.g., how the respiratory and cardiovascular systems interact) and apply them to real-world scenarios. This layered complexity increases intrinsic cognitive load, which can be particularly challenging when delivered within an accelerated timeframe.

In a traditional 16-week format, students are afforded time to revisit concepts, engage in spaced practice, and gradually build mental models that support long-term retention. However, in an 8-week format, this same volume of material must be delivered at nearly double the pace. Without intentional instructional redesign, this compression can lead to superficial coverage, where students focus on short-term memorization strategies rather than deep understanding. As a result, learners may achieve passing grades without developing the conceptual frameworks necessary for success in subsequent coursework or clinical application.

To address this challenge, instructors must move beyond simply *covering* content and instead prioritize essential learning outcomes. This requires deliberate content triage, in which foundational concepts are emphasized, and less critical material is reduced or strategically integrated. Additionally, instructional design must incorporate techniques such as chunking, scaffolding, and frequent retrieval practice to help manage cognitive load. By restructuring how content is delivered rather than reducing expectations, educators can better support meaningful learning within compressed timelines.

3.6 Variability in Student Readiness

Students entering A&P courses represent a wide spectrum of academic preparedness, prior knowledge, and learning skills. Many community college students, particularly adult and nontraditional learners, may have been out of formal education for several years, while others may lack a strong foundation in prerequisite subjects such as biology or chemistry. Additionally, differences in study habits, time management skills, and familiarity with scientific terminology can significantly influence student success.

Accelerated course formats can magnify these disparities. In a compressed schedule, there is limited time for students to remediate gaps in foundational knowledge or adjust ineffective learning strategies. Students who enter well-prepared may thrive in an accelerated environment, while those with weaker preparation may quickly fall behind. This creates a widening performance gap early in the course, often within the first one to two weeks, where early misunderstandings compound rapidly due to the pace of instruction.

This variability is particularly relevant for first-generation college students and adult learners balancing external responsibilities such as employment and caregiving (Kasworm, 2010). These students may have less time to dedicate to studying and fewer academic support resources, making it more difficult to keep up with accelerated expectations. As a result, instructors must proactively design courses that account for this variability. Strategies such as early diagnostic assessments, structured study guides, embedded academic support, and frequent formative feedback can help

identify and address gaps before they become barriers to success. Ultimately, recognizing and responding to differences in student readiness is essential for equity in accelerated learning environments. Without intentional support structures, accelerated formats risk disproportionately disadvantaging the very students they are intended to serve.

3.7 Retention Versus Throughput

Accelerated course formats are often implemented to improve throughput, enabling students to complete courses more quickly and progress more efficiently through their academic programs. From an institutional perspective, this can support increased enrollment, improved completion metrics, and faster entry into the workforce. However, this emphasis on speed raises important questions about the durability of learning and the extent to which students retain and transfer knowledge beyond the immediate course.

Research on learning and memory suggests that long-term retention is strengthened through spaced practice, repeated retrieval, and opportunities for application (Kornell & Bjork, 2008). These conditions are naturally supported in longer course formats, where time allows for revisiting concepts and reinforcing learning over extended periods. In contrast, accelerated courses often compress these opportunities, leading students to rely on short-term memorization strategies that prioritize immediate performance over sustained understanding.

This distinction between throughput and retention is particularly critical in A&P courses, where knowledge serves as a foundation for future coursework and clinical practice. Students who complete an accelerated course may demonstrate competency on final assessments but struggle to recall or apply that knowledge in subsequent courses or real-world settings. This can create downstream challenges in programs such as nursing or respiratory care, where the ability to integrate and apply foundational knowledge is essential for safe and effective practice.

To address this tension, instructional strategies in accelerated formats must intentionally incorporate elements that promote retention. Techniques such as cumulative assessments, spaced retrieval activities, and application-based learning can help reinforce knowledge over time, even within compressed schedules. Additionally, institutions should consider tracking student performance beyond the initial course to evaluate the long-term impact of accelerated formats on learning outcomes. Balancing throughput with meaningful learning requires a shift in focus from simply completing courses quickly to ensuring that students are equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary for continued academic and professional success.

3.8 Instructional Adaptations and Practices

1. Pacing and Prioritization

- Backward design anchored to essential outcomes (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005)
- Content triage: *must know* vs. *nice to know*, preserving competencies required for progression

- Chunking and sequencing: smaller learning units with clear signaling to reduce extraneous load.
2. Built-In Spacing and Retrieval
 - Daily low-stakes quizzes (retrieval practice) to reinforce memory traces (Roediger & Karpicke, 2006)
 - Cumulative questioning across units to simulate spacing within compressed timelines
 - Interleaving of related topics to strengthen discrimination and transfer (Cepeda et al., 2006).
 3. Active and Applied Learning
 - Case-based learning linking physiology to clinical scenarios (Ambrose et al., 2010)
 - Think–pair–share and guided discussion to promote elaboration and peer explanation
 - Concept mapping to support schema development and integration.
 4. Assessment Redesign
 - Frequent formative assessments with rapid feedback cycles
 - Exam blueprints aligned to outcomes to ensure validity and consistency across formats
 - Mixed-format items (application, analysis) to assess deeper understanding, not just recall.
 5. Scaffolding and Supports
 - Structured study guides and weekly planners to manage time-on-task
 - Embedded tutoring and supplemental instruction aligned with course pacing
 - Early alert systems to identify at-risk students within the first two weeks.
 6. Modality Considerations (Onsite/Hybrid)
 - Asynchronous micro-lectures paired with synchronous application sessions
 - Learning Management System organization (modules, checklists, due-date clustering) to reduce cognitive overhead
 - Multimodal resources (short videos, diagrams, simulations) to support diverse learners (Mayer, 2009).

3.9 Vignettes from Practice

Vignette 1: Cardiovascular System in 8 Weeks

Early assessments indicated gaps in foundational understanding. The instructor implemented daily retrieval quizzes and guided review sessions, converting lectures into application workshops. Despite increased workload, student performance improved, and misconceptions were corrected earlier in the unit.

Vignette 2: Managing Cognitive Load in Renal Physiology

Students struggled with learning filtration and reabsorption processes. Instruction was redesigned to include segmented animations, worked examples, and stepwise problem-solving. Extraneous information was minimized, and signaling cues highlighted key steps, reducing overload and improving accuracy on assessments.

3.10 Maintaining Standards and Rigor

Course objectives, grading rubrics, and assessment blueprints were held constant across formats. Alignment with program expectations and accreditation standards ensured that competencies were equivalent. Table 1 will present an example of crosswalk alignment between 16-week and 8-week outcomes, assessments, and performance thresholds.

Table 1

Crosswalk of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Alignment Across 16-Week and 8-Week Anatomy and Physiology Formats

<i>Learning Outcome</i>	<i>16-Week Format</i>	<i>8-Week Format</i>	<i>Assessment Method</i>	<i>Performance Standard</i>
<i>Identify and describe anatomical structures of major body systems</i>	Weekly lectures, laboratory activities, and guided review	Condensed modules with targeted laboratory activities and supplemental learning resources	Unit exams, laboratory practical, quizzes	Minimum score of 75%
<i>Explain physiological mechanisms and homeostatic regulation</i>	Distributed instruction across multiple class sessions	Integrated instruction with focused concept application activities	Unit exams, case studies, discussion activities	Demonstration of competency aligned with course rubric
<i>Analyze relationships among body systems and predict physiological responses</i>	Incremental coverage throughout semester	Combined systems-based instruction with emphasis on concept integration	Case-based assessments, cumulative examinations	Achievement of course-level learning outcomes
<i>Apply anatomical and physiological concepts to healthcare scenarios</i>	Periodic clinical application exercises	Frequent case-based learning and applied problem-solving activities	Scenario-based assignments, examinations	Meets established course rubric criteria
<i>Demonstrate mastery of foundational concepts required for progression</i>	Multiple opportunities for review and reinforcement	Embedded retrieval practice and cumulative review activities	Comprehensive final examination	Minimum passing grade as required by program standards

<i>into health science programs</i>				
<i>Communicate scientific terminology accurately and appropriately</i>	Ongoing classroom discussion and assignments	Structured discussion activities and targeted written assignments	Written assessments, discussions, examinations	Competent use of discipline-specific terminology

Notes. Learning outcomes, grading criteria, assessment blueprints, and performance expectations remained consistent across both formats. Differences between formats were limited to instructional pacing, sequencing of content, and delivery methods. The accelerated format incorporated additional retrieval practice, structured review opportunities, and active-learning strategies to support achievement of equivalent learning outcomes within a compressed timeframe.

3.11 Recommendations for Practice

1. Assess Readiness: Use diagnostics to guide placement and advising for accelerated courses
2. Design for Retrieval: Embed frequent, cumulative retrieval opportunities.
3. Reduce Extraneous Load: Streamline materials; prioritize clarity and signaling
4. Front-Load Support: Implement early alerts and targeted interventions in weeks 1–2.
5. Align Modality and Method: Pair asynchronous content with synchronous application
6. Leverage Data: Use LMS analytics to monitor engagement and adjust instruction
7. Faculty Development: Train instructors in cognitive load, spacing, and assessment design.

4. Discussion

Acceleration represents more than a scheduling adjustment; it constitutes a significant pedagogical redesign challenge. Research indicates that durable learning depends on spacing, retrieval, and manageable cognitive load, all of which are constrained in compressed timelines. Nevertheless, intentional instructional design can approximate these conditions through daily retrieval, cumulative assessments, and carefully sequenced content. The community college context further underscores the need to address the realities faced by adult learners, necessitating flexible yet structured support systems.

4.1 Implications for Policy and Practice

The experiences described in this manuscript suggest that successful acceleration requires more than compressing content into a shorter timeframe. Faculty must intentionally redesign learning

experiences to account for the cognitive and logistical challenges associated with compressed instruction. Strategies grounded in retrieval practice, cognitive load management, active learning, and structured support can help preserve academic rigor while promoting student success.

At the institutional level, accelerated offerings should be accompanied by advising processes that help students assess readiness for compressed coursework. Early alert systems, supplemental instruction, tutoring, and faculty development initiatives can further support student achievement. Program leaders should also monitor downstream outcomes, including persistence, progression, and performance in subsequent coursework, to ensure that accelerated formats are facilitating durable learning rather than short-term course completion.

Finally, institutions should recognize that not all courses may be equally suited for acceleration. Gateway science courses such as Anatomy and Physiology may require additional instructional support, hybrid delivery models, or enhanced academic interventions to balance workforce demands with long-term educational quality.

5. Conclusion

Accelerated course formats are likely to remain a central component of community college education as institutions continue to respond to workforce shortages, enrollment pressures, evolving student demographics, and increasing demands for flexible educational pathways. Community colleges serve a substantial population of adult and nontraditional learners who frequently balance employment, family responsibilities, and other competing commitments while pursuing educational goals. Recent evidence suggests that adult learners continue to comprise a significant portion of community college enrollment and often require learning environments that provide both flexibility and structured support to facilitate persistence and completion.

The challenge facing institutions is not whether to offer accelerated courses but how to design them in ways that preserve academic rigor, support meaningful learning, and promote long-term student success. While accelerated formats provide opportunities for increased access and improved academic momentum, they also compress the time available for reflection, practice, and knowledge consolidation. These constraints are particularly important in gateway science courses such as Anatomy and Physiology, where students must develop foundational knowledge that supports future coursework, clinical decision-making, and professional competence. Without intentional instructional design, accelerated delivery may encourage surface-level learning strategies that prioritize short-term performance rather than durable understanding.

This article has demonstrated that maintaining instructional quality within compressed academic terms requires more than simply condensing existing content into a shorter timeframe. Effective acceleration involves a deliberate redesign of course structure, instructional methods, assessments, and student support systems. Grounded in principles of adult learning theory, Cognitive Load Theory, retrieval practice, and spacing effects, the presented instructional strategies presented throughout illustrate how faculty can create learning environments that support both efficiency

and depth of learning. Techniques such as content prioritization, chunking, retrieval-based assessments, active learning, concept mapping, and structured scaffolding can help students manage cognitive demands while developing meaningful and transferable knowledge.

This article's reflections further suggest that student success in accelerated courses depends not only on instructional practices but also on institutional systems that support learner engagement and persistence. Recent literature emphasizes that adult learners benefit from clear pathways, proactive advising, flexible delivery models, and early interventions designed to address academic and nonacademic barriers to success. Community colleges that intentionally align accelerated offerings with broader student support structures may be better positioned to promote persistence, completion, and workforce readiness among diverse student populations.

As accelerated learning continues to expand across higher education, ongoing evaluation remains essential. Future research should examine not only immediate course outcomes but also longer-term indicators such as knowledge retention, progression into subsequent coursework, program completion, licensure performance, and workforce readiness. Particular attention should be given to high-stakes health science courses, where foundational knowledge serves as the basis for future clinical reasoning and patient care. Additional investigation into how acceleration affects different student populations, including adult learners, first-generation students, and academically underprepared students, may further inform equitable instructional practices.

Ultimately, the goal of accelerated education should not be merely to move students through courses more quickly but to create learning experiences that support sustained academic growth and professional preparation. The evidence-informed strategies highlighted in this article suggest that access and rigor need not be competing priorities. When acceleration is paired with intentional course design, effective instructional practices, and comprehensive student support, community colleges can provide flexible pathways that maintain educational quality while helping students achieve their academic and career goals.

References

- Ambrose, S. A., Bridges, M. W., DiPietro, M., Lovett, M. C., & Norman, M. K. (2010). *How learning works: Seven research-based principles for smart teaching*. Jossey-Bass.
- Attewell, P., Heil, S., & Reisel, L. (2012). What is academic momentum? *Research in Higher Education*, 53(1), 27–44.
- Austin, A. M., & Gustafson, L. (2006). Impact of course length on student learning. *Journal of Economics and Finance Education*, 5(1), 26–37.
- Bailey, T., Jaggars, S. S., & Jenkins, D. (2015). *Redesigning America's community colleges: A clearer path to student success*. Harvard University Press.
- Bean, J. P., & Metzner, B. S. (1985). A conceptual model of nontraditional undergraduate student attrition. *Review of Educational Research*, 55(4), 485–540.
- Bjork, R. A., & Bjork, E. L. (2011). Making things hard on yourself, but in a good way. In M. A. Gernsbacher et al. (Eds.), *Psychology and the real world* (pp. 56–64). Worth.

- Bostwick, V., & Nguyen, T. D. (2025). More often or longer? The effects of the academic schedule on postsecondary outcomes. [EdWorkingPaper No. 25-1363]. Annenberg Institute. Brown University. <https://edworkingpapers.com/sites/default/files/ai25-1363.pdf>
- Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (2006). Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(3), 354–380.
- Complete College America. (2012). Remediation: Higher education's bridge to nowhere. Complete College America. <https://completecollege.org/resource/remediation-higher-educations-bridge-to-nowhere/>
- Hodges, C. B., Moore, S., Lockee, B. B., Trust, T., & Bond, M. A. (2020, March 27). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>
- Kasworm, C. E. (2010). Adult learners in a research university. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education*, 58(1), 1–9.
- Knowles, M. S., Holton, E. F., & Swanson, R. A. (2015). *The adult learner* (8th ed.). Routledge.
- Kornell, N., & Bjork, R. A. (2008). Learning concepts and categories. *Psychological Science*, 19(6), 585–592.
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Merriam, S. B., & Bierema, L. L. (2014). *Adult learning: Linking theory and practice*. Jossey-Bass.
- Paas, F., Renkl, A., & Sweller, J. (2003). Cognitive load theory and instructional design. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 1–4.
- Roediger, H. L., & Karpicke, J. D. (2006). Test-enhanced learning. *Psychological Science*, 17(3), 249–255.
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285.
- Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2019). *Cognitive load theory*. Springer.
- Tinto, V. (1993). *Leaving college* (2nd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design* (2nd ed.). ASCD.